

Positive Handling Policy (Staff)

Version control

Scope: Applicable to all Trust Schools	
Review date:	September 2026
Union Status:	
Statutory or non-statutory:	
Author/Reviewer:	CAROL WARD – SEND Lead September 2025

Robert Miles Junior School Positive Handling Policy.

The Use of Physical Intervention in School.

Introduction

This policy complements the principles and practice of the school's Behaviour Policy and forms part of the wider Safeguarding framework. It is informed by the following legislation and guidance:

- Use of Reasonable Force (DfE, 2013)
- Keeping Children Safe in Education (2024)
- Education and Inspections Act (2006)
- Restraint Reduction Network Training Standards (2019)

Robert Miles Junior School is committed to creating a safe, secure, and nurturing environment for pupils and staff. Physical contact is appropriate and natural in certain contexts in school, such as providing comfort, support and assistance, or sensory regulation. This policy outlines the use of Restrictive Physical Interventions (RPI), based on the CPI Safety Intervention Model.

Scope

This policy applies to all staff working within [School Name], including during off-site activities.

Aims

- Maintain the safety of pupils, staff, and others.
- Ensure staff understand when and how physical intervention may be used.
- Promote the use of supportive and therapeutic touch where appropriate.
- Clarify procedures for safe and appropriate Restrictive Physical Intervention.
- Protect the rights of both staff and pupils.
- Ensure staff are appropriately trained and supported.
- Promote de-escalation and reduce the need for Restrictive Physical Intervention.
- Ensure compliance with relevant legislation and national standards.
- Embed best practice across the school.

Legal Framework

Under the Education and Inspections Act 2006, all school staff may use force, as is reasonable in the circumstances, to prevent a pupil from doing or continuing to do any of the following:

- · committing any offence,
- causing personal injury to, or damage to the property of, any person (including the pupil himself), or
- prejudicing the maintenance of good order and discipline at the school or among any pupils receiving education at the school, whether during a teaching session or otherwise.

While parental consent is not required for staff to apply restrictive holds, it is good practice to inform parents proactively if a child may require restrictive physical intervention.

Additional Legal Documentation Reviewed as Part of Forming this Policy:

- Searching, Screening and Confiscation Guidance (DfE, 2022)
- Reducing the Need for Restraint and Restrictive Intervention (DfE & DoH)
- Children and Families Act 2014
- Human Rights Act 1998 & UN Convention on the Rights of the Child

Specialist Training and CPI (Crisis Prevention Institute) Safety Intervention Model

Equals Trust follows the CPI Safety Intervention Model.

At least two designated staff, one of which is SLT, complete certified CPI training in restrictive and non-restrictive techniques. Annual refresher training is provided, and attendance is mandatory. School staff are trained by Carol Ward (Trust SEND Lead) and Jenny Cook (Trust CEO) who are both qualified CPI trainers. Both trainers undertake an annual refresher course with CPI to maintain their qualification and standards. Schools can receive additional training and certification for extra staff, if the needs of their pupils require this.

All relevant staff in school have received additional CPD training in the CPI model and deescalation techniques to reduce the need for Restrictive Physical Interventions via a staff meeting or Inset.

In emergencies, any staff member may use reasonable positive holds and restrictions, if there is a significant risk of harm.

The Model:

- Restraint and Restrictive Physical Intervention is defined as any intervention restricting liberty of movement for the child.
- Staff use the CPI Decision-Making Matrix to assess risk and determine appropriate interventions (See Appendix 5).
- Restrictive Physical Interventions must be necessary, proportionate, a last-resort and time-limited.
- Holds should be reduced as the pupil regains control and de-escalates.
- No physical intervention intends to cause pain or harm and following these methods the risk of causing accidental harm should be reduced and minimised.
- Staff should try to ensure another member of staff is present, but we accept there may
 be circumstances where the risk of not intervening outweighs the risk of intervening
 and a staff member may do so alone.
- Behaviour Support Plans (BSPs) and Risk Assessment (RAs) must be in place for pupils with known risks. See Trust Template in Appendix 2 BSP and Appendix 3 RA.
- In high-risk situations (e.g. presence of a serious weapon), external agencies may be contacted and on occasions with such a prominent level of risk no direct intervention by school staff may be considered safe or appropriate, instead the police would manage the situation.

De-Escalation Procedures

De-escalation is the primary strategy. Staff follow the CPI Crisis Development Model to try to prevent escalation and reduce conflict. See CPI training booklet. Restrictive Physical Intervention is a last resort.

Behaviour Plans and Risk Assessments.

Staff must conduct a dynamic risk assessment using the CPI Decision-Making Matrix before any Restrictive Physical Intervention, where possible. Refer to CPI training materials for guidance. See Appendix 3 for RA template and Appendix 4 for RA Evaluation Form following an incident.

Risk Assessments should be shared with parents and signed by both school and parents. Every time an incident occurs school certified CPI staff (SLT) must review and annotate the RA, as required. The Trust SEND Lead is available to support with this process.

Behaviour Support Plans should be shared and co-produced with parents and reviewed following any changes to provision, behaviours observed, or triggers identified. EHCP content will be reflected in any BSPs.

Trauma Informed Practice

This policy and the CPI approach acknowledge the impact of trauma on behaviour and promotes empathy, emotional regulation, and relational safety.

Staff are encouraged to use trauma-informed approaches aligned with CPI's emphasis on empathy and dignity. See CPI training booklet.

Applying a Restrictive Hold

Children's rights must be respected, and effective communication must be used to convey vital information to them. Pupils must be informed verbally, that to safeguard, a 'positive hold' will be used.

Care should be taken to avoid giving the impression that the member of staff is angry or frustrated or are acting to punish the child. It should be made clear with calm, supportive language that as soon as the need for restriction ceases, it will stop.

CPI certified staff are expected to use only CPI holding strategies.

Medical Exemptions

Staff unable to perform holds due to medical reasons must inform the Headteacher. This information must be shared with relevant staff by the Headteacher.

Reporting and Recording

All incidents involving Restrictive Physical Intervention must be recorded on CPOMS. Reports must include:

- Date and location.
- Individuals involved
- Description of incident and antecedents
- Type and duration of hold

Any injuries sustained

The Headteacher and CPI-trained staff must be informed immediately.

The recording of incidents enables incidents to be reviewed by SLT, so that in the future preventable measures can be put in place to avoid the continuation or escalation of aggression.

Post-Crisis Debriefs

A post crisis debrief will strengthen trust for all involved and address any immediate needs of the child in distress, staff, or other pupils. Debriefs should occur within 48 hours of the incident with SLT and CPI certified staff.

After a crisis, it is important to re-establish the relationship with the child to create a sense of calm and safety. Post Crisis, when the child is at a stage of tension reduction, we want to establish therapeutic rapport. We aim to support the child initially in the present and then focus on understanding the past, what happened and how we can adapt the future to reduce the same incident occurring again.

Risk Assessments and Behaviour Support Plans are adapted with the information obtained from staff and pupils.

Accidents and Injuries

With any Restrictive Physical Intervention, there is always the possibility that a member of staff or pupil may be injured. An injury does not automatically assume that someone is to blame or that a hold may not have been performed correctly.

There may be circumstance when a member of staff may have to decide between making an intervention by placing themselves in a hazardous situation or standing back and thereby allowing colleagues or a pupil to face potential hazard. There will always be an element of personal judgement in these decisions and there is the possibility of someone being injured or experiencing pain to some degree.

If an injury occurs, immediate action should be taken to ensure medical help is accessed. It may be necessary only to ensure to that the named first aider is notified and examines the injury.

Individuals who are held in RPIs should be routinely assessed afterwards for any signs of injury by school staff. If a child is hurt, a body map and form (Appendix 1) should be completed with a record of the details of the injury and circumstances. This should be logged on CPOMS and parents/carers should be informed as soon as possible. If the child is LAC, it is essential that the social worker is informed without delay.

If a staff member is hurt, the individual should follow school procedures for reporting and recording an accident.

Staff Wellbeing and Support

Post-incident support for staff is essential. Staff should have access to supervision or counselling if needed.

Debriefs should be supportive and acknowledge the emotional impact of incidents on all involved.

Governance and Oversight

The School and Trust monitors Restrictive Physical Intervention incidents across schools and expects regular reporting to school governors and trustees.

An annual review of incidents and training uptake is conducted by the Trust Inclusion Lead and CPI trainer.

Complaints Procedure

Any complaints or issues regarding a Restrictive Physical Intervention (RPI) should initially be directed to the Head Teacher for investigation. Likewise, where a break of policy may have occurred, the compliant must be brought to the attention of the Head Teacher first.

Pupils and parents will be supported in using the complaints procedure, if required.

Monitoring and Evaluation

The effectiveness of this policy will be reviewed annually and adapted, if required.

Incident data will be analysed to inform training and planning.

Created: September 2025

Appendix 1 - Body Map


Purpose of this body map


This body map is designed to support staff to accurately record any possible physical injury occurring from a Restrictive Physical Intervention. The body map provides a visual record of injuries.

Using a body map does not replace seeking medical advice, so a diagnosis of the injury and correct treatment should be sought by a medical professional. The body map is simply a record of what can be seen and what has been said about the injury. Please include a description in the concern section and indicate site, size, shape, and colour of lesion/mark.

Child's details			
Frist name		Surname	
Date of birth		Ethnicity	
Any relevant SEND Needs / Health Needs / Physical Needs etc.			
Sex: Male or Female		Date & time form completed	
Person completing th	e form		
Frist name		Surname	
Role		Organisation	
Headteacher			
CPI Fully Certified Staff (2-day Course)			
Concern			
Nature of the injury		Read alongside Cpoms	s account.
Include all relevant back how the injury occurred to any injuries/marks. (write here and draw or	d and a full description		
What the child said in	their own words:		

What the parent/carer said in their own	
words when informed:	
(if applicable)	
Observations made/professional opinions:	
observations made/professional opinions.	
(please make distinction between fact and	
opinion)	





Appendix 2 – Equals Trust Positive Behaviour Plan

Name:	DOB:	Date:	Class / Year:	Relevant Background:
				background.
Pupil Strengths			Areas for Developme	nt
Triggers:				
Pro-Active	Active		Reactive	Recovery
How will adults know that I am ready to learn, calm and relaxed? (5-point Scale)	How will a know that becoming dysregular anxious?	l am	How will adults know that I am at crisis point?	How will adults know that I am returning to a calm state?
What might help me at this stage?	What might at this stap	-	What might help me at this stage?	What might help me at this stage?
What might make the situation escalate?	What mighthe situation escalate?		What might make the situation escalate?	What might make the situation escalate?
Identified Safe Space	s and Peopl	e:		

Appendix 3 – Equals Trust Risk Assessment Form based on CPI Model.

F											
	Assessment of Risk	Risk	Risk Reduction - Proactive	Risk	Risk Rating on	o o	Farly Interventions to	Reactive Interventions to Respond	Final	Final Risk Rating	ating
Risk	In which	Who	Interventions employed to reduce	o	CPI DMM	3	Manage Risk CPI	to Adverse Outcomes CPI Model	on	on CPI DMM	Ì
	situations does	might be	the risk likelihood or severity of				Model	E.G			
	it occur?	harmed and how?	harm. E.G Changes to environmental aspects	d		ng	E.G Supportive &	Safety Interventions Verbal and Environmental Non-	d		ng
			/ activity demands / communication	100	ity	latir	Directive Approaches	Restrictive Interventions /	100	ity	latir
			etc.	kelil	ever	sk F		Disengagements or Restrictive Holds.	kelil	ever	sk F
				Li	s	R			Li	s	R
Γ											
											T

Identification of Risk – Is the risk potential or actual? (Has there already been an incident in school?) Behaviour Plan in Place Name of Pupil: Yes or No

Equals Trust Risk Assessment Plan based on CPI Model.



Equals Trust Risk Assessment Plan based on CPI Model.



Staff Training: Required: Yes or No

	1					
dendied Hairing Weeds.		nailing to be Frowled to Prest Needs.	Needa	Date Halling Compared	onpered.	
Communicating School Risk Assessment Plan:	,					
Plans and Strategies Shared with:	Com	Communication Method:		Date Actioned:		
Assessment Completed by:						
Name:	Role:		Signature:		Date:	
Agreed by:						
Parent/Carer: Re	Relationship to Child:		Signature:		Date:	
Staff Member: Ro	Role:		Signature:		Date:	

Appendix 4 – Equals Trust Risk Assessment Evaluation Form

Measures in Place on RA Plan Proactive Interventions to Reduce Initial Risk: Early Interventions in place to Manage Risks: How did they Impact on Risk: Early Interventions to Respond to Adverse Outcomes: AGREED ACTIONS FOR THE FUTURE (if required, what will you change/add?):	ochoot Mame		
What was the Effectiveness in Supporting the Child: JURE (if required, what will you change/add?):	Name of Pupil:	Date of Birth:	
Proactive Interventions to Reduce Initial Risk: Early Interventions in place to Manage Risks: Reactive Interventions to Respond to Adverse Outcomes: Outcomes: AGREED ACTIONS FOR THE FUTURE (if required, what will you change/add?):	Measures in Place on RA Plan	What was the Effectiveness in Supporting the Child:	How did they Impact on Risk:
Early Interventions in place to Manage Risks: Early Interventions in place to Manage Risks: Reactive Interventions to Respond to Adverse Outcomes: AGREED ACTIONS FOR THE FUTURE (if required, what will you change/add?):			
Early Interventions in place to Manage Risks: Reactive Interventions to Respond to Adverse Outcomes: AGREED ACTIONS FOR THE FUTURE (if required, what will you change/add?):	Proactive Interventions to Reduce Initial Risk:		
Reactive Interventions to Respond to Adverse Outcomes: AGREED ACTIONS FOR THE FUTURE (if required, what will you change/add?):	Early Interventions in place to Manage Risks:		
Reactive Interventions to Respond to Adverse Outcomes: AGREED ACTIONS FOR THE FUTURE (if required, what will you change/add?):	Early Interventions in place to Manage Risks:		
AGREED ACTIONS FOR THE FUTURE (if required, what will you change/add?):	Reactive Interventions to Respond to Adverse Outcomes:		
	AGREED ACTIONS FOR THE FUTURE (if required, what wil	you change/add?):	

QUALS TRUST

Equals Trust Risk Assessment Evaluation Form



Evaluated by:						
Name:	Role:		Signature:		Date:	
Staff Training: Required: Yes or No						
	Trai	Training to be Provided to Meet Needs:	t Needs:	Date Training Completed:	Completed:	
Communicating School Risk Assessment Update:	Update:					
Plans and Strategies Shared with:		Communication Method:		Date Actioned:		
Agreed by:						
Parent/Carer:	Relationship to Child:		Signature:		Date:	
Staff Member:	Role:		Signature:		Date:	

Appendix 5 - CPI Decision-Making Matrix

The Decision-Making MatrixSM

Risk = Likelihood x Severity

			Likeli	hood of Bel	aviour	
		Rare Will probably never happen	Unlikely Is not expected to happen, but it could	Possible Might happen	Likely Will probably happen	Certain Will undoubtedly happen
	Negligible Psychological or physical injury will be minimal	LOW	LOW	LOW	MEDIUM	MEDIUM
Sevi	Minor Psychological or physical injury will be non-permanent and/or cause no lasting ill-health	LOW	MEDIUM	MEDIUM	HIGH	HIGH
Severity of h	Moderate Psychological or physical injury will require treatment and/or lead to medium term incapacity and ill-health	LOW	MEDIUM	HIGH	HIGH	EXTREME
Harm	Major Psychological or physical injury will require treatment leading to long term incapacity or disability	MEDIUM	HIGH	HIGH	EXTREME	EXTREME
	Cotastrophic Death will occur, or the level of injury will lead to permanent or irreversible ill-health	MEDIUM	HIGH	EXTREME	EXTREME	EXTREME

	OVERALL RISK RATIN	G GUIDE (Colour code)	
Green (G)	Yellow (Y)	Orange (O)	Red (R)
Low Risk	Medium Risk	High Risk	Extreme Risk

Refer to CPI training booklet for further details.